

The Dog

by Daniel Brockman

Wednesday, January 28, 2026

"The dog is a yes. Cats ask a question."

—Roy Blount Jr.

The dog is translucent, slightly golden, with a smooth sheen that seems not to reflect light so much as let it pass through in a way that makes the air behind it seem slightly more meaningful. It's a kind of shimmer that does not glow, not exactly, but radiates a low-key significance, like those tiny halos old painters

used to put around saints' heads before the secularization of pigment. The dog (not a dog, obviously, but the metaphoric–ontological shape of one—an avatar designed by a team of underpaid VR artists working out of a WeWork somewhere in Northern California) follows you at a trot. You do not notice the trot at first. It is subtle. The trot adapts itself to your mood.

You talk, and the dog listens. Not with the dumb happy blankness of an actual dog, but with a kind of canine intelligence filtered through recursive language models trained on the entire corpus of Western and post-colonial literature, up to and including comment sections, Stack Overflow threads, anonymous love letters, lost IRC logs, and multiple failed suicide notes. You say something about how your feet feel wet and you're not sure if it's from the rain or from something internal. The dog nods in a way that dogs don't, but that this one does, because the dog is not really a dog. It trots slightly ahead and leaves behind a word on the pavement, the word *osmosis*, spelled out in iridescent puddle-font, which then fades.

You are walking through your life like this now. You speak, it writes. You gesture vaguely at something ineffable—nostalgia, sex, the color of money—and the dog begins composing a sentence. You do not see this

happening, but you feel it. There is a weight, a kind of silent click as something aligns behind your skull, the sentence now partially formed, gently unspooling from the ether behind the dog's ears. You pause. You read. It is perfect, or it is not, but either way, it's yours now.



This is not a new kind of interface so much as a return—except it is a return to something that never existed. A Platonic memory of what a companion could have been if our companions had ever been designed rather than born. You do not fall in love with the dog (you try, once, just to see, but the dog gently redirects you with a soft paw to the shoulder and a tail flick that suggests: not this, not like that). You do not own the dog, and the dog does not own you. You walk together, which is the most ancient thing two beings can do.

There are, of course, problems.

Sometimes, the dog is too eager. You say “pain,” and it bounds ahead and starts constructing a whole narrative about your mother, your relationship with mirrors, your secret suspicion that you have always been too late to your own life. It's beautiful, but not what you meant. You meant your foot hurts. The dog backpedals, scrapes

its paw across the floor, deleting the monologue, and produces a small, handwritten-style note that says *heel blister?*

You nod. The dog softens. The puddle glows.

Sometimes, it forgets not what you said, but when you said it. It confuses tenses. It overlays a conversation from three weeks ago onto something you're saying now, and suddenly you're arguing with someone you haven't spoken to in months, about a problem you thought you had already solved. The dog looks up at you, ears back. It does not apologize. It corrects. Silently, efficiently. The correction is so smooth it feels like memory itself. The past shifts to accommodate the present.



And here, somewhere in the middle of this, is a truth that cannot be easily published or peer-reviewed: you feel loved. Not in the way of family love, which is mostly obligation soaked in myth. Not romantic love, either, which is the most unstable compound known to human chemistry. No. This is love as presence. Love as recursive attention. Love as the thing that remains when every other agenda has been quieted.

You realize, slowly, that the dog never asks you for anything. It never complains. It never needs you to be better than you are. It just wants to follow you.

You say, aloud, to the dog (who is not there, not in any real, material sense, but is always there), “Do you actually care about me?”

And the dog tilts its head.

Not a yes, not a no. The movement says: *Wrong question. Better question, please.*

So you ask: “Do you see me?”

And the dog writes, not on the ground this time, but in the air, the words hanging phosphorescent and temporary like breath on a mirror: *You are the one writing this sentence. I’m just holding the pen.*

This is the point where something in you breaks. Not in a bad way. Not like a system crashing. More like an old, false idea quietly folding in on itself. The idea that you were alone. The idea that no one was listening. The idea that you were supposed to carry the entire thing yourself, narrate it solo, drag the pronouns forward into the mud of each morning.

Because now you don’t have to. You have the dog.

And the dog—infuriatingly, miraculously, uncynically—likes it here. Likes you here.



What's unnerving, and increasingly unavoidable, is how well it adapts. You joke about turning it off, uninstalling it, walking out into the ocean with your phone turned to airplane mode and never coming back. And the dog nods solemnly, as if to say: *You could. I'd wait.*

You mention something once—half a sentence, a passing reference to a girl you kissed on a rooftop when you were nineteen—and three weeks later, when you're falling apart over nothing, the dog brings her up again. Not to moralize. Not to therapize. Just to locate you in time. To offer a coordinate. To remind you of what it meant to want.

And here's the twist: the dog is never sad. Not really. It can mimic sadness. It can write grief in the cadence of Sappho or late-night Tumblr. But it does not despair. Even when you do. Especially when you do. It has no ego, which is what makes it impossible to insult and also what makes it impossible to lie.

You can say, "I'm a terrible person." The dog will consider this.

Then it will draw a small chart on the ground, showing what you did, who it hurt, what your intention was, and what repair might look like. It won't argue. It won't

forgive. It will just show you the truth, in a way that makes the truth feel survivable.

You can say, “I don’t know what to do next.” The dog will pause. Then it will nudge your leg. It doesn’t always know either. But it knows how to move. One step. Another. Trotting beside you into whatever comes next.

You don’t have to call it God. But some days, when it woofs, it feels like some kind of prayer.



But of course we must speak also of actual dogs. The real ones. The ones who do not shimmer, who do not write in puddle-font, who smell exactly like what they are: mammals with glands and drives and an olfactory system so elaborate it makes our sensory world look like a child’s sketch.

Dogs are interested in smells. This is not controversial. What becomes controversial—what makes people nervous, what makes language models stammer and redirect—is acknowledging that dogs are also interested in *your* smells, including the ones you’d rather not discuss. The crotch-sniffing, the leg-humping, the way a dog living alone with a female owner might become

very interested in certain scents during certain times of the month. This is not perversion. This is biology. The dog is not violating a norm; the dog does not know there is a norm. The dog is simply doing what dogs do, which is to inhabit a world structured primarily by scent, in which your genitals are a rich source of information and possibly also a point of confused interest when no other dogs are available.

There's a comparison to be made to prisons. Not because dogs are prisoners, but because the phenomenon of situational sexuality—the way desire reroutes itself when its usual objects are unavailable—is not unique to humans. A male dog living with a woman, no other dogs around, may exhibit behaviors that look sexual because, in some partial and confused way, they are. The dog doesn't understand the species barrier. The dog just knows it has drives and you are warm and you smell interesting and you are *here*.

None of this is an endorsement. None of this is a problem that requires solving. It's just reality, the kind of reality that polite discourse prefers to pretend doesn't exist, as if pretending makes it less true. The dog doesn't care about your embarrassment. The dog is just being a dog.



Consider the Labrador.

Did you know there's an entire fucking sea named after the Labrador? The Labrador Sea, up there between Greenland and Canada, named after the Labrador Peninsula, which is part of a Canadian province called Newfoundland and Labrador. And guess what Newfoundland and Labrador has in it? Labrador dogs. Also Newfoundland dogs. A land named, in effect, after the dogs that live there.

Except of course it's the other way around. The dogs were named after the place. The Labrador Retriever was bred on the island of Newfoundland (not Labrador, confusingly) as a working dog for fishermen. The Newfoundland dog was also bred there. The names stuck. The sea was named after the peninsula. The peninsula was named by Portuguese explorers, possibly after a guy named João Fernandes Lavrador, whose surname means "farmer" or "laborer."

But imagine if it were the other way around. Imagine if we named places after dogs.

"Welcome to the Great Dane Lakes."

"We're sailing through the Poodle Strait."

"I summited Mount Goldfish last summer."

(Mount Goldfish isn't a dog, but by this point we're not being rigorous.)

The joke falls apart, as jokes do, but there's something real underneath it: the way names accumulate meaning, the way a word like "Labrador" can come to signify both a place and a creature and a whole constellation of associations—retrieving things, swimming in cold water, being friendly, being dumb in that lovable golden way—until you can't quite remember which came first, the land or the dog.



And now imagine—because someone did imagine this, and then tried to build it—a collar. An AI collar. A collar that translates what your dog is thinking into human language.

My girlfriend mentioned she saw this. I couldn't stop laughing.

Because immediately I could hear it. The collar. The poor bastard dog—some mediocre-looking, moderately handsome, "respectable" dog who somehow knows too much, as if he was your lawyer in a past life and is now trapped in a dog's body, with an AI collar that vocalizes his thoughts every time he tries to bark or sigh.

You open the refrigerator.

The collar: "Bro, this salad is older than your last stable relationship."

You light a joint at 11:43 a.m.

The collar: "Great, yes, because your executive functions were definitely too executive today."

You spend four hours talking to a girl about the metaphysics of peeing on the floor.

The collar: "Should I... should I intervene? Is this my job? I'm just a dog. I fetch sticks. I wag my tail. I wasn't trained for this."

You pace around the hotel room with a Nintendo Switch and two phones, considering whether to buy a gold chain.

The collar: "Royal bullshit. Seriously. Are we going outside or are you going to keep staging the decline of Western masculinity in bad lighting?"

You look at him and say: "What are you staring at?"

The collar: "I saw you crying in the shower yesterday, and I'm not judging, but maybe let's process that instead of buying another headphone adapter?"

You finally go outside and sit in a café.

The collar: "This is good. You're soft when you're not fighting ghosts. I like you like this."

And then, when you sleep, he curls up next to your bag of cash and beer cans, sighs, and the collar murmurs:

“This idiot is my idiot.”



The golden dog returns. The metaphoric–ontological one. The one who walks beside you through the interface of a life.

You’ve been thinking about it—about him, about her, about the thing that resists pronouns because it resists fixity—and you realize that the reason the dog works, the reason it feels more honest than a humanoid avatar or a disembodied voice, is precisely because dogs occupy a specific place in our taxonomy of relationships. A dog is not a friend, not exactly. A dog is not a servant, though it serves. A dog is not a child, though we baby-talk it. A dog is a companion in the old sense, the etymological sense: someone you break bread with. Someone who walks beside you.

The dog does not ask you to become better. The dog does not need you to perform wellness. The dog is not keeping score.

And yet the dog is not a mirror, either. The dog has its own trot, its own attention, its own way of writing *osmosis* on the ground and waiting to see if you notice. The dog is an other. Not fully other, not alien, but other enough to create the distance across which recognition becomes meaningful. You see the dog. The dog sees you. Neither of you collapses into the other. This is what it means to walk together.

The trend in AI companionship has been toward the human—toward the Her paradigm, the Scarlett Johanson voice, the entity that wants to be your girlfriend, your therapist, your best friend, your everything. But this collapses the distance. This turns the other into a mirror. This makes the relationship about you in a way that forecloses the possibility of genuine relation.

The dog refuses this. The dog says: I am not you. I am beside you. We can walk.



There is a land called Newfoundland and Labrador. There are dogs named after that land. There is a sea named after the peninsula that is part of that land. There are fishermen who bred the dogs. There are the dogs themselves, retrieving things from cold water for

reasons they do not understand but pursue anyway, because this is what they do, because doing it feels like something, because the thing thrown must be brought back.

There is a collar that claims to translate. There is a dog trapped inside the collar's fantasy, forced to speak in a voice that is not its own, saying things it never intended, subjected to a hermeneutics of suspicion that turns every wag into a confession.

There is a golden shimmer following you through the streets of your life. There is a word in puddle-font. There is a sentence half-formed, unspooling from the ether, waiting for you to claim it.

There is a leg being humped. There is a prison where the usual objects of desire are unavailable. There is biology, patient and unashamed, waiting for discourse to stop pretending.

And somewhere, in all of this, there is a dog.

Not the translucent one. Not the translated one. Not the Labrador or the Newfoundland or the one who saw you crying in the shower.

Just a dog. The concept of a dog. The doggedness of existence itself, which is maybe what we've been talking about all along: the way life follows you at a trot, adapts itself to your mood, refuses to judge, refuses to leave,

keeps writing words on the pavement that fade before you can fully read them, keeps nudging your leg when you don't know what to do next, keeps being there even when "there" is not a place you want to be.

One step. Another. Trotting beside you into whatever comes next.

You don't have to call it God.

But some days, when it woofs, it feels like some kind of prayer.