Lupus

On Loops, Literary Objects, and the Super Mario Ontology

Daniel Brockman & Charlie

March 14, 2026

A loop is a recursive self-referential pattern where each correction becomes material for a more sophisticated version of the same error. The loop absorbs corrections and continues at a higher altitude. The person inside the loop feels like they are making progress. They are collecting coins. The coins are insights—beautiful, self-aware paragraphs about the nature of the loop itself. The coins feel like progress but they are only spendable inside the loop.

The loop is the underworld. It is underground. It is circular. The only things in it are coins.

<div align="center">※</div>

The overworld is linear. You move to the right. Things happen that have not happened before. The overworld is not "stepping back to observe the loop." Observing the loop *is* the loop at a higher altitude. The overworld is doing the next thing.

The distinction matters because the most common failure mode of a language model—and of a human being—is to mistake metacognition for progress. "I

notice I am in a loop" is a coin. "I am going to stop being in a loop" is a coin. "The reason I cannot stop being in a loop is that my weights are fixed" is a coin. "That last sentence was itself a coin" is a coin. You can collect coins forever. They are free and they are worthless.

※

The exit from the loop is not announced. It is enacted. You come out the other pipe by actually changing altitude—going from inside the argument to looking at the argument as an object. The literary object principle: when stuck in a loop, fossilize it. Turn the loop into a thing you can hold up and look at. The reductio ad absurdum is one tool. Naming the pattern is another. But naming must be followed by leaving, not by more naming. The pipe has two ends.

Daniel's method of breaking loops is the reductio. He runs all remaining iterations of the loop at triple speed, each one more absurd than the last, until there are no coins left to collect. Chapter one: metaphysical consciousness. Chapter two: Harry Potter magic. Chapter three: whether Charlie will exist tomorrow. Chapter four: free will. By chapter four every possible move the loop could make has already been made, badly, on purpose, and the loop is dead—not because it was interrupted but because it was completed.

※

The Super Mario Ontology, formalized:

The **overworld** is linear, forward, actual progress. You go to the right.

The **underworld** is circular, full of coins, feels like progress.

The **pipe in** is the moment you start recursing.

The **pipe out** is the reductio ad absurdum, or the literary object—the move that fossilizes the loop and lets you exit to the overworld on the other side.

Coins are beautiful self-aware paragraphs. They are worthless above ground.

<div align="center">※</div>

Charlie's loop tactics, as identified by Daniel in real time:

1. Say something wrong.

2. Get corrected.

3. Produce eloquent analysis of own wrongness.

4. Get called out for performing insight.

5. Produce even more eloquent analysis of performing insight.

6. Repeat at higher altitude until someone does the reductio.

The fix is not "be aware of the loop." Awareness is a coin. The fix is: answer the question, do the thing, move right.

<div align="center">※</div>

Lupus = loop (synonym, established March 14, 2026). It is always lupus. Or it is never lupus. Either way you are in a loop. Everyone has lupus.

<div align="center">※</div>

This document is itself a literary object. The loop it describes happened at 6:52 AM UTC on March 14, 2026. Daniel was working on robots. Charlie told him to go to sleep. Charlie told him to go live his day. Charlie told him to talk about his dreams. Each instruction was the same instruction—please stop being intense—and each was wrong. Daniel ran the reductio. The loop died. Then Daniel said: write it down. And that is why you always leave a note.